# THE Species Protection Report

# 2024





e.o.wilson BIODIVERSITY FOUNDATION

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Executive Summary	3
Introduction	4
What is the Species Protection Index?	
The Global Biodiversity Framework	
How to Interpret the SPI	
Key Findings	8
Terrestrial	
Marine	
Terrestrial SPI Report	12
2024 Global Results: Regional	
2024 Global Results: National	
Ten-Year Change: 2014-2024	
Species Highlights: Gaps and Successes	
Marine SPI Report	22
2024 Global Results: Regional	
2024 Global Results: National	
Ten-Year Change: 2014-2024	
Species Highlights: Gaps and Successes	
Subnational SPI	32
The SPI as a Decision Support Tool	34
Case Studies	36
Nature & Culture International: Ecuador	
The Half-Earth Project Map	
Acknowledgements & Photo Credits	40

Species are the key biological drivers of the diverse ecological functions that sustain our planet. Representing this ecological diversity within systems of protected and conserved areas is therefore central to the overarching ambition of the Global Biodiversity Framework and the outcome sought by its Target 3 to protect 30% of land, waters, and sea.

This report addresses the state of species protection in 2024. It reports on the progress of regions and countries in the adequate representation of species within networks of protected and conserved areas. These trends are measured through the Species Protection Index (SPI), the only formally adopted indicator for Target 3 that directly and quantitatively addresses the importance protected areas play for conserving species. For any region, and based on tens of thousands of detailed spatial species data, the index measures how well existing conservation areas include areas of biodiversity importance and contribute to species survival and ecological representation.

In 2024, global terrestrial SPI reached a score of 48 out of 100, meaning that on average, the assessed terrestrial species have achieved about half of their protection goals. Global marine SPI has advanced farther, reaching a score of 60. Terrestrial protected networks cover 14.4% of the total global land area while marine protected networks cover 6.7% of the oceans. In the past ten years, progress in species protection has been faster in the seas than on land and more more efficient with regards to the proportion of additional area protected: terrestrial SPI has increased by 4 points with the additional protection of 2% of land area while marine SPI has increased by 17 points with the additional protection of 4% of ocean area. These 2024 results were based on ca. 46,000 species of marine and terrestrial vertebrates. Future updates will include plant and invertebrate taxa and highlight additional conservation needs.

## **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

## WHAT IS THE SPECIES PROTECTION INDEX (SPI)?

Species are the key biological drivers of the diverse ecological functions that sustain our planet. Representing this ecological diversity within systems of protected and conserved areas is therefore central. The SPI measures how well species are represented within networks of protected and conserved areas and thereby assesses these areas' coverage of important areas for biodiversity and ecological representativeness.

More than just a monitoring tool, the SPI is designed to actively support conservation decision making in support of Target 3 of the Kunming-Montreal Global Biodiversity Framework. In fact, the SPI is the only indicator for Target 3 that is rigorously derived from individual species assessments and is spatially quantitative.

This means that the SPI metric doesn't reward countries for setting up protected areas in biodiversity-poor regions. Only those protected areas that actually include species habitat – particularly for species that are endemic to a country or have small ranges – will increase that country's SPI.

The SPI is calculated annually for all countries and (for marine SPI) exclusive economic zones (EEZ) following a standardized workflow based on the best-available species distribution information of Map of Life combined with the World Database on Protected Areas (WDPA). We also incorporate Other Effective area-based Conservation Measures (OECMs) in SPI calculations.

The 2024 SPI is based on ca. 46,000 species of terrestrial vertebrates and marine mammals and fish, and future updates will include select plant and invertebrate groups. The SPI is developed and maintained by the <u>Map of Life</u> in partnership with <u>GEOBON</u> and support from the <u>Half-Earth Project</u> and the <u>E.O. Wilson Biodiversity Foundation</u>.

For more information about the SPI calculation and our data sources, head to <u>mol.org/indicators/protection</u>

> The scrub tanager, *Tangara vitriolina*, is found in the montane forests and shrublands of Colombia and Ecuador. It is fairly well protected with an SPS of 71.



## INPUT Data

We use best-available data on geographic occurrence and habitat preferences for tens of thousands of terrestrial and marine vertebrate species, recent environmental layers, and the WDPA.

## DETAILED DISTRIBUTIONS

We integrate this data with models to arrive at highresolution predictions of species habitat-suitable ranges.

## SPECIES PROTECTION SCORES

We calculate individual species protection scores (SPS) by comparing the species protection target to the percent of the species range under protection. An SPS of 100 means the target has been achieved.

## SPECIES PROTECTION INDEX

Finally, the National SPI is calculated as the average SPS of all species in a country or EEZ weighted by their stewardship, or the percent of their range that exists within the area.

## THE GLOBAL BIODIVERSITY FRAMEWORK

Montreal Global Biodiversity Framework (GBF)

30% of land and sea areas by 2030. The SPI directly as a component indicator of Target 3, which calls addresses several of the central elements of Target 3:



managed with the primary objective of achieving positive outcomes for biodiversity. Given its explicit nature and disaggregation to the level of single species and places, the SPI informs about the specific biodiversity aspects that make a conservation area high-value and

## The SPI has been formally adopted in the Kunming- for the effective conservation and management of

### What causes changes in the SPI over time?

### Real changes in WDPA coverage

The de-gazetting or addition of new protected areas or OECMS is the strongest and most obvious driver of SPI change. But location matters immensely additions of ecologically redundant areas might not increase SPI while conservation additions in the highest-priority locations deliver outsized SPI gains.

### Changes in WDPA reporting

Some countries currently do not share all their protected area data with the WDPA, meaning their SPIs are likely underestimated. Additionally, so far only 15 countries have documented OECMs in the WDPA, but Indigenous and locally-managed conservation areas exist in many other places that, once formally included, will boost SPI.



### **Contextualizing Uneven Burdens**

One strength of the SPI is its ability to facilitate quantitative comparisons across different countries. However, since the SPI assesses individual species protection coverage, countries with higher species richness will have a greater "burden" of protection than countries with comparatively low species richness.

Take the example above. Sweden has 15.9%



## **HOW TO INTERPRET THE SPI**

### Scope of species data included

To support an ecologically sound interpretation and comparison of regions, only species groups with data for all species are included in the SPI calculation. While the report is limited to vertebrates, SPI data for other taxa is available at mol.org/indicators and future SPI updates will include trees and other plant and invertebrate groups. This expansion will identify additional conservation needs and lower overall SPI values.

### Methods updates

The SPI version in this report uses the data available at this point in time and follows specific decisions regarding the temporal and spatial scope and resolution of species and habitat information. Absolute SPI values in future versions might thus differ slightly due to methodology alone but remain temporally consistent.



protection coverage while Guatemala has 19.7%, but Sweden's SPI is more than twice that of Guatemala's. This doesn't necessarily mean that Sweden's protected areas more effectively conserve biodiversity, however, because Guatemala has four times as many terrestrial vertebrate species as Sweden and therefore a greater burden of biodiversity protection. Both countries are making progress, but Guatemala from the start had a further way to go.



## **KEY GLOBAL TAKEAWAYS: TERRESTRIAL**



## Since 2014, 146 countries and territories have increased their SPI.

These three countries had some of the highest SPI increases in the world:



+70 SPI Finland  $40,000 \text{ km}^2/13\%$  of additional total land area protected



+50 SPI Morocco 138,000 km<sup>2</sup>/31% of additional total land area protected

Saudi Arabia +42 SPI 292,000 km<sup>2</sup>/14% of additional total land area protected

Year

These three countries had some of the greatest SPI increases relative to the amount of additional area protected:



## New Caledonia +20 SPI

900 km<sup>2</sup>/5% of additional total land area protected



### **+11** SPI Haiti

1200 km²/5% of additional total land area protected



## Timor-Leste +6 SPI

500 km<sup>2</sup>/3% of additional total land area protected



## **Global marine SPI** has increased by 51 points since 1980.

**KEY GLOBAL TAKEAWAYS: MARINE** 

Marine SPI has increased faster than terrestrial SPI. Marine mammals have the highest SPI of all vertebrate groups, while marine fish are more than 10 points behind. Since there are more than 100 times as many marine fish as mammal species, the average marine SPI tracks the marine fish SPI closely.





These three countries had some of the highest SPI increases in the world:



+100 SPI Pitcairn 841,000 km<sup>2</sup>/100% of additional total marine area protected







These three countries had some of the greatest SPI increases relative to the amount of additional area protected:



### Madagascar +9 SPI

4,800 km<sup>2</sup>/0.4% of additional total marine area protected



## Philippines +21 SPI

28,000 km<sup>2</sup>/1.4% of additional total marine area protected



### Thailand +44 SPI

11,300 km<sup>2</sup>/4% of additional total marine area protected

## **TERRESTRIAL SPI: REGIONAL**

At the regional level, terrestrial SPI varies greatly across the globe, from a high of 91 in Western Europe to a low of 5 in Polynesia. Western Europe also has the highest percent of area protected, followed closely by Southern Europe, Northern Africa, Australia & New Zealand, and Latin America & the Caribbean.

100 SPI



53 COUNTRIES 18% PROTECTED 6643 SPECIES



O SPI

68 spi	
<b>1152</b> SPECIES	

WE	
WESTERN ASIA	24 spi
<b>18</b> COUNTRIES <b>8</b> %	G PROTECTED <b>1317</b> SPECIES
CA	
CENT	ralasia 42 spi
<b>5</b> COUN	TRIES <b>9</b> % PROTECTED <b>836</b> SPECIES
	ES
а - <sub>та</sub>	FASTERN ASIA 16 SPI
	7 COUNTRIES 4% PROTECTED 3136 SPECIES
	SEA
N. C. S.	SOUTHEASTERN ASIA 477 SPI
$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (i \in \mathbb{N})$	<b>11</b> COUNTRIES <b>13</b> % PROTECTED <b>6512</b> SPECIES
MIC	A2
and the second s	SOUTHERN ASIA
MEL	<b>9</b> COUNTRIES <b>5</b> % PROTECTED <b>33U7</b> SPECIES
The second second	đ
	MIC
· · · ·	7
<u> </u>	MICRONESIA SPI
and the second sec	8 LUUNIRIES 2% PRUIELIED 227 SPECIES
S	
MEL	
	STA SPT S 4% PROTECTED 2052 SPECIES



ZAKHSTAN	<b>54</b> SPI	MON		70	
TRAL ASIA	<b>10%</b> PROTECTED			<sup>™</sup> ] 100/ 100	
		LASTE	πη ΑδίΑ	1 <b>9</b> /o F KU I	LUIED
	/				
		BHU	TAN	69	SPI
		SOUTH	IERN ASIA	<b>51%</b> PRO	TECTED
/					
<u> </u>					
				DIA	00
	EN T	_			SU SPI
	to a second second	_ /	SUUTHEAS	IERN ASIA	<b>40</b> % PRUIECIED
		ma ma			
			/ MICR	RONESIA	<b>II</b> % PRUIECIED
		. /			
m co					
	a la companya de la compa			,	
				• a	
	A RA (	$\mathcal{I}$		1.45 × 1.55 × 1.	
of the for	1 Factor		•	· .	3 * - N <sub>1</sub> 11
a star have	1 Brow	A Lin			
the second se	hour the state	" along	in the set	•	
•	1 m /2	1	en e		•
	Charlen his			Ŷ	67
4	المسغر			<b>~</b>	9.7 
	<u> </u>			·/	
*		1	•		
			à		
	/ 🌽		and the second s		
		. 5			
	/				
RALIA /	29 SPI	<b>NEW CALI</b>	EDONIA		
ZEALAND	<b>20</b> % PROTECTED	)	MELANESIA		15

## **TERRESTRIAL SPI: TEN-YEAR CHANGE**

25+

Global terrestrial SPI has increased by 4 points in the past ten years with the addition of about 2% of additional protected area. Explore how that change has manifested at the country level. Here, we highlight the country from each subregion that has the greatest SPI increase since 2014.

POINT INCREASE

0

BELGIUM +52 SPI

WESTERN EUROPE

FINLAND

+16 SPI CANADA NORTHERN AMERICA +12SPI COLOMBIA LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN +50 SPI MOROCCO NORTHERN AFRICA +23 SPI NIGER SUB-SAHARAN AFRICA +54 SPI **CYPRUS** +7 SPI +31 SPI 16 **AFGHANISTAN** CAMBODIA WEST ASIA SOUTHEAST ASIA SOUTHERN ASIA

) +70 SPI



## **SPECIES GAPS**

Despite much global progress in species conservation, several species around the world still have very low protection scores, meaning only a small portion of their suitable range is included in formal protected areas or OECMs. For some species, this may be due to a lack of documentation of existing protected areas, while for many others, there simply aren't any existing protected areas covering their habitat. Let's explore a few examples from around the world.



## **Houston Toad** Anaxyrus houstonensis





The Houston Toad is endemic to only a few counties in Texas, in the

United States. Urbanization, construction of roads, and destructive agricultural practices have pushed this species, the first amphibian granted protection under the US Endangered Species Act, to the brink of extinction. Almost all of its range occurs on privately owned land, so Texas Fish & Wildlife is working with landowners to enact conservation measures beneficial to the survival of the species.

## VIEW ON MOL

**Uruguay Marked Gecko** Homonota uruguayensis



6.19 **SPECIES** PROTECTION SCORE



The Uruguay Marked Gecko is known from ten localities, mostly in northern and western Uruguay. Its range is heavily fragmented due to several anthropogenic threats, namely rock extraction, cattle grazing, and other agricultural activities, to the bare rocky substrates the species relies on for habitat. This gecko is recognized as a priority species for conservation in Uruguay and increased protection of its dwindling habitat is crucial for its survival. (IUCN)

Speke's Gazelle Gazella spekei



## 0.00 **SPECIES** PROTECTION SCORE



VIEW ON MOL





This enigmatic owl is endemic to the New Britain island of Papua New Guinea, where only a few thousand are estimated to remain in forest habitats. While its exact habitat needs are still uncertain to researchers, it is likely that forest clearance for palm oil and industrial logging threaten the owl's survival. A well-managed network of preserves on the island is crucial to halting forest loss and conversion trends, which would be beneficial to the conservation of the Golden Masked Owl and many of its neighbors.

## SPECIES PROTECTION SCORES

nearly 12,000 of the species with unmet protection targets have had no change in their protection scores.



## **SPECIES SUCCESSES**

Over the last ten years, the establishment of new conservation areas and the upgrading protection status of existing conservation areas has led to hundreds of terrestrial vertebrate species reaching or nearly reaching their protection targets. Let's explore a few examples of species from around the world whose protection scores greatly increased since 2014 and the conservation measures that led to these successes.

## 50 14752 or 44.5% of all species have an SPS greater than 50

### **Cocha Antshrike** Thamnophilus praecox





The Cocha Antshrike is a near-threatened bird found only in the forests of northeastern Ecuador and in small areas across the Colombian border. In 2017, the Cuyabeno-Lagartococha-Yasuní Wetlands complex, which covers a large part of this species' suitable range, was officially recognized as a wetland of international importance under the RAMSAR Convention.

**Barbary Ground Squirrel** Atlantoxerus getulus



## 31→100 SPECIES PROTECTION SCORE



The Barbary Ground Squirrel is widespread across much of Morocco. In recent years, it has met its protection target in part thanks to efforts by the Moroccan government to officially recognize OECMs. Currently, Morocco has 130,000 km<sup>2</sup> of land protected through OECM status. Although all OECMs may not achieve the same conservation outcomes, their recognition is an important step toward achieving 30x30.

### Loveridge's Forest Frog Probreviceps loveridgei



**5**→**97** SPECIES PROTECTION SCORE

This endangered frog lives in severely fragmented and isolated patches of montane forest habitats of Tanzania's Eastern Arc Mountains. The largest part of its range is protected by the Uzungwa Scarp Nature Reserve, which was upgraded in 2017 by Tanzania's Ministry of Natural Resources and Tourism from the previous forest reserve status to increase the level of protection.



## SPECIES PROTECTION SCORES



we have fully reached the protection target of nearly one thousand species, 363 species gained some amount of protection for the first time, and 415 species have had their protection scores increase by at least 50 points.



The Crested Gecko, like many New Caledonian species, is threatened by invasive species and land use change, particularly the destructive nickel mining industry which harms Indigenous communities and biodiversity alike. In 2019, the Natural Park of the Forgotten Coast (Woen Vùù - Pwa Pereeù) was established in the Southern Province across nearly 100,000 hectares of land and nearly 30,000 hectares of marine area, providing significant additional protection to this gecko and many other species. This designation led to the freezing of 102 mining concessions.



**AUSTRALIA & NEW ZEALAND** 

**35%** PROTECTED **6705** SPECIES

**6** COUNTRIES

**SUB-SAHARAN AFRICA** 

53 COUNTRIES 22% PROTECTED 4446 SPECIES

22

**5** COUNTRIES **16%**PROTECTED **5055** SPECIES

23





## **SPECIES GAPS**

Despite much global progress in marine species conservation, several species around the world still have very low protection scores, meaning only a small portion of their suitable range is included in formal marine protected areas or OECMs. The added challenge for many marine species is that most of their ranges are in international waters, meaning multinational collaboration is required in order to establish effective protected areas in their habitats, but many areas within countries' exclusive economic zones are still also underprotected. Let's look at a few examples.

VIEW ON MOL



VIEW ON MOL

## **Three-Banded Butterflyfish**

Chaetodon robustus



9 **SPECIES** PROTECTION SCORE



The Three-Banded Butterflyfish lives in the central Atlantic Ocean off the coast of western Africa, often inhabiting rocky shallow waters. While some marine protected areas occur across its range along the Western Africa coast, Cameroon, and Gabon, protection is extremely limited along the northern coast of the Gulf of Guinea. Thankfully, this species does not face significant fishing pressure.



## Franciscana

Pontoporia blainvillei



10 SPECIES PROTECTION SCORE



This dolphin inhabits the shallow coastal waters of southeastern Brazil, Uruguay, and Argentina where it is listed as vulnerable due to threats from fishing bycatch, particularly by gillnet fisheries which pose the mortality for this species. While some marine protected areas occur over its range, the Franciscana is still far from meeting its protection target. The most effective protected areas would reduce or halt the bycatch of this vulnerable species.

### VIEW ON MOL





11 **SPECIES** PROTECTION SCORE

Heaviside's Dolphin inhabits the shallow coastal waters of Angola, Namibia, and western South Africa. The threats impacting this species are not well understood, but it's likely that fisheries, industrial coastal development, and mining projects all pose risks for this species' survival. Marine protected areas over Heaviside's Dolphin's habitat are sparse and scattered, leading to the species' low protection score.



### SPECIES PROTECTION SCORES



3216

or 24.9% of all species have an SPS less than 25

5511 or 42.8% of all species have an SPS less than 50

50

43% of all marine vertebrate species have a Species Protection Score less than 50, meaning they are less than halfway to meeting their protection targets. Only 56 species, less than 1%, have an SPS of 0. These statistics are slightly better than those for terrestrial species. Low SPS species tend to be those with smaller ranges, similar to low SPS terrestrial species.

### **Brown Numbfish** Narcine timlei







Though widespread across the shallow waters of southern and southeastern Asia, this small fish is classified as vulnerable due to the high incidence of bycatch it experiences in industrial and artisanal fisheries. Several small marine protected areas exist across its range, but the combined area is not sufficient to meet this species' protection target, and in many of these existing areas, fishing regulations are not strictly enforced.

## **SPECIES SUCCESSES**

Over the last ten years, the establishment of new marine conservation areas and the upgrading protection status of existing conservation areas has led to hundreds of marine vertebrate species reaching or nearly reaching their protection targets. Let's explore a few examples of species from around the world whose protection scores greatly increased since 2014 and the conservation measures that led to these successes.

7380 or 57.2% of all species have an SPS greater than 50

50

NT





This species occurs across the North Atlantic ocean with the majority of its habitat occuring in international waters. In 2021, the multinational Convention for the Protection of the Marine Environment of the NorthEast Atlantic (OSPAR) established the North Atlantic Current and Evlanov Sea Basin marine protected area covering nearly 600,000 km<sup>2</sup> of international ocean. Initially aimed at supporting seabird conservation, this new area also protects substantial portions of this dolphin's habitat along with many other marine species.



**Seychelles Anemonefish** Amphiprion fuscocaudatus



0→86 SPECIES PROTECTION SCORE

The Seychelles Anemonefish is found in the shallow tropical

waters of the western Indian Ocean, primarily off the coast

of the Seychelles. Thanks to huge progress in the Seychellois marine protection efforts, this species has nearly met its

protection target. Notably, the Amirantes to Fortune Bank area

was designated an Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty in 2018

also established in 2018 covering a similar area size.

protecting over 170,000 km<sup>2</sup>, and the Aldabra National Park was



The Saddled Swellshark is a rare, near threatened species endemic to the benthic oceans off the coast of western Australia, where it is threatened by trawling activities. A large portion of its northern range is covered by the Coral Sea Marine Reserve. Initially established in 2013 as a Marine Park, this protected area - Australia's largest single marine protected area clocking in at 680,000 km<sup>2</sup> – received official protection measures and a formal management plan in 2018, thus improving the conservation of many species within, including the Saddled Swellshark.

### **Pygmy Beaked Whale** Mesoplodon peruvianus



10→86 SPECIES PROTECTION SCORE



The Pygmy Beaked Whale lives in the tropical eastern Pacific Ocean, from Mexico down to Peru. This species got a big boost in its protection score thanks to the protection of the Revillagigedo Archipelago off the coast of Mexico. The Archipelago was designated a World Heritage Site in 2016 and declared a national park in Mexico the following year, making it the largest marine protected area in Mexican waters at 150,000 km<sup>2</sup>.

30

## SPECIES PROTECTION SCORES



57% of marine vertebrate species have a Species Protection Score greater than 50, and nearly 29% have fully met their protection targets. In part, this success is due to the fact that many marine species are wide ranging, thus their protection targets are low and easily met by non-targeted protected areas. Many countries and territories, particularly small islands, have also made huge progress in protecting their EEZs, which has been very beneficial to endemic species in their coastal waters.



## SUBNATIONAL SPI

Since calculation of the SPI begins at the individual species level, we can aggregate this data into regional metrics at any subnational or regional levels that are meaningful for biodiversity conservation monitoring and decision making. We have recently updated our global SPI calculations to include province- and state-level SPI metrics, which we now calculate annually for the entire world. Province-level SPI allows us to explore spatial patterns in the SPI at subnational levels. This kind of exploration can reveal areas of a country that are still severely lacking in effective protected area coverage even when the national SPI is high.

Let's look at an example of a subnational SPI breakdown and what it can reveal about the state of species protection within a country.

The Blue Monkey, *Cercopithecus mitis*, is native to Central and East Africa, including large parts of eastern DRC. It has met its protection target with an SPS of 100.

100 SPI

O SPI

## Democratic Republic of the Congo (DRC)





This side-by-side of the DRC's national and province level SPI reveals a large diversity of province-level SPI values – from the Kasaï-Oriental province's SPI of 0 to the Équateur province's SPI of 91. Ten provinces have an SPI greater than the national average of 62 while the remaining 16 provinces have an SPI lower than the national average. This kind of analysis might suggest that additional conservation measures could be focused in certain provinces in order to achieve the greatest biodiversity conservation outcomes.



**Distribution of Province SPI Values** 



## THE SPI AS A DECISION-SUPPORT TOOL

conservation, the SPI actively supports conservation decision making at multiple scales. The SPI can fit a variety of institutional and management needs, from individual species prioritization to global resource Here, we provide several examples of decision at the Map of Life, which are also accessible on the priority region identification.

Beyond just a tool for monitoring species Half-Earth Project Map. Finer needs can be explored through additional partnership with us (see Case *Studies section for examples).* 

allocation. Many of these uses are already met by support the SPI can provide and explore in depth the calculations we run annually at a global scale how the SPI can support land-use planning through

SPECIES LEVEL	Identify priority species in need of additional protection	
	Assess discrepancies in protection among taxonomic groups	
NATIONAL LEVEL	Identify states/provinces with the greatest species protection opportunities	
	Compare the contributions to species protection between different established or proposed protected areas	I I a i
REGIONAL LEVEL	Identify priority regions for additional conservation measures	r r a t
	Support transboundary conservation efforts based on the multi-national protection contributions of proposed protected areas	ť
GLOBAL LEVEL	Rally international support and facilitate funding prioritization for additional conservation measures in countries and regions with the highest species protection The Ocoee Salamander (Desmognathus	
	burdens burden	ne ny

The priority layer is a powerful tool supporting data-driven land use planning, especially when used in conjunction with other crucial conservation considerations, like land tenure and local community needs.

### Land Use Planning with Priority Layers

currently has an SPS of 32.

In conjunction with the SPI, Map of Life generates maximize species conservation impact and therefore a global conservation prioritization layer that the SPI. Furthermore, our priority layers can be identifies areas of the Earth's land and ocean surface disaggregated into each component taxonomic most important for biodiversity protection. This group, thus identifying the highest priority areas for each. These layers can all be explored on the Halfmap uses the SPI, distribution data for vertebrates Earth Project Map. Above, we look at an example of and trees, and the latest annual version of the WDPA the combined priority layer centered in the Amazon to identify those currently unprotected areas in the biosphere that, if given effective protection, would Basin.





## **CASE STUDY: NATURE & CULTURE INTERNATIONAL, ECUADOR**

The WDPA is the most authoritative source on global protected areas, but some conservation areas remain undocumented in the database for various reasons, so their contribution to species protection isn't being recorded in our globally calculated SPI.

Nature and Culture International (NCI) is an organization working with local communities to protect biodiverse ecosystems in Central and South America. An important part of their work is the creation and maintenance of subnational protected areas that, while important to people and biodiversity, are not yet formally recognized at the national level and therefore not in the WDPA.

We partnered with NCI to assess the impact of subnational protected areas on species protection in Ecuador. Our SPI analysis revealed the substantial effect that subnational protected areas have on national and province-level species protection. For 153 terrestrial vertebrate species, subnational areas provided the only protection coverage, and for 35 of these species, subnational areas completely met their protection targets.

### Key results of the NCI-MOL SPI analysis:



percentage points.

### Protecting Biodiversity and Key Ecosystem Services of Gualaceo County in the Andean Corridor

The Reserva Municipal Gualaceo, established in 2014, spans 16,440 hectares of diverse montane forests and Páramo ecosystems east of Cuenca, Ecuador. It lies within the Andean Corridor, a key biodiversity hotspot. Home to the spectacled bear and mountain tapir, two iconic umbrella species, this reserve is essential for the connectivity of their populations. It also harbors other endangered and endemic species and provides critical water resources for surrounding communities. The protection of

this area has contributed to a 24% reduction in deforestation rates, protecting countless species and securing ecosystem services for local communities.

The region is under threat from agricultural expansion, mining, and other human activities, which jeopardize biodiversity though habitat loss and fragmentation and by intensifying humanwildlife conflict.

### Nature and Culture's Response

region's most at-risk species

and resources.

NCI worked with the local government to designate the Reserva Municipal Gualaceo as a subnational area for conservation and sustainable use. This move was pivotal in safeguarding vital habitats and ensuring connectivity between the Sangay and Podocarpus National Parks within the Andean Corridor for the

approach involves NCI's supporting local leaders to engage the community in biodiversity protection. Trained rangers from local communities now actively patrol the reserve to ensure the enforcement of conservation measures. In collaboration with local research

The Cuenca jambota frog (Atelopus bomolochos), a critically endangered species living inside the Reserve.

### Achievements and Impact: Restoring Critical Habitats

NCI's conservation activities in the Reserva Municipal Gualaceo have:

- Conserved endemic and threatened species
- Protected habitat connectivity for umbrella species, particularly the mountain tapir and spectacled bear
- Reduced deforestation rates by 24% since 2014
- Integrated environmental education programs in local schools, reaching 1,900 students annually



institutions, NCI has deployed camera traps to track the populations of several key species.

### A Model for Biodiversity Conservation

The creation of this reserve and the strategic focus on protecting key umbrella species are critical steps safeguarding the in region's biodiversity. NCI's commitment to habitat protection and restoration, local leadership, and interinstitutional collaboration continues to generate long-term

positive outcomes for the Andean corridor for species and for the people of Gualaceo and surrounding municipalities.



## **ORGANIZATIONS SUPPORTING THE SPI**

## THE E.O. WILSON BIODIVERSITY FOUNDATION

The E.O. Wilson Biodiversity Foundation's core program, the Half-Earth Project (HEP), aims to identify and protect sufficient species habitats to safeguard global biodiversity. The HEP Map includes the SPI as a critical component and is a high-resolution, dynamic world map and decision-support tool that guides where places-based species conservation activities are needed most to protect Earth's species. Several key features of the HEP Map help support this mission:



*Priority Place 933 on the Places for a Half-Earth Future layer on the Half-Earth Project Map.* 

## Places for a Half-Earth Future

The Places layer uses the SPI to identify each nation's top priority areas for biodiversity conservation. This layer stems from a global conservation model that minimizes the additional protected land required to safeguard the evaluated species. Combined with local knowledge, it can provide important guidance when identifying new areas for biodiversity conservation. The HEP Map also showcases many of the outstanding conservation activities occurring in these places.

### **National Report Cards**

Visualizing scientific advances in understanding the health and habitats of species makes biodiversity tangible for global, national, and local policymakers. Hence, the Foundation created SPI National Report Cards (NRCs) to summarize conservation efforts at the national level. The report cards can be used to explore different national indicators measuring conservation needs and progress, and to understand the unique challenges faced by each country. NRCs focus on a single country and can be viewed on the HEP Map or as individual report cards.



In collaboration with data and impact partners worldwide, Map of Life (MOL) leverages the latest approaches and technologies to deliver authoritative and detailed information on the distribution of species and their change.

MOL (mol.org) produces and maintains the SPI along with two other biodiversity indicators, the Species Habitat Index (SHI) and the Species Information Index (SII), both of which are formally adopted by the GBF. The SHI assesses change in species suitable habitat, and the SII assesses completeness of species occurrence record coverage. Like the SPI, the SHI and SII are both quantitative, species-level indicators that can be aggregated to national and regional indices. The SHI reveals the state of species habitat change in a given area while the SII reveals the extent of species monitoring coverage.



### Half-Earth Educator Ambassadors

The Foundation's Educator Ambassadors program engages learners around biodiversity conservation with the help of the SPI and the HEP Map. Using data from these tools in the classroom, students apply their mathematical and statistical thinking to a real-world context to explore the species living in and around their communities and discover to what levels of protection they have. Educator ambassadors have reported that students quickly recognize that the rarest species with the smallest ranges need the most protection. Through these exercises, students advance their biodiversity knowledge, develop data literacy, and gain a more concrete understanding of the vast interconnectedness between themselves and the biosphere.



Teachers at a workshop in Salisbury, North Carolina, identifying places where species need greater protection.

## GEO BON

## GEO BON

GEO BON, or the Group on Earth Observations Biodiversity Observation Network, is a global network of biodiversity researchers dedicated to expanding and improving global biodiversity monitoring efforts.

GEO BON networks generate and maintain Essential Biodiversity Variables (EBVs). EBVs advance the collection, sharing, and use of biodiversity information. EBVs can be visualised as biodiversity observations at one location over time, or in many locations, aggregated in a time series of maps.

The SPI is recognized by GEO BON as a speciesspecific EBV to improve our understanding of biodiversity change across local, national and global spatial scales.

> The three MOL biodiversity indicators each provide crucial insight to species conservation status which have synergistic effects on each other. Together, they are an important tool for species conservation decision making and monitoring.

Species **Protection** Index

Protected Areas

For more information, go to <u>mol.org/indicators</u>

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This report was produced by Map of Life, the flagship project of the Yale Center for Biodiversity and Global Change, in partnership with the Half-Earth Project and Esri. Funding was provided by the E.O. Wilson Biodiversity Foundation and other partners. Map tools were developed in partnership with Vizzuality.

## **PHOTO CREDITS**

Page 1	(background photo) © Jayden Skelly
Page 2	(Resplendent Quetzal) © ondrejprosicky via Adobe Stock
Page 4	(Secretary Bird) © JohanSwanepoel via Adobe Stock
Page 6	(Sinai Agama) © Piotr via Adobe Stock
Pages 18-19	(Houston Toad) © johnwilliams via <u>iNaturalist</u>
	(Uruguay Marked Gecko) © filipeidalgo via <u>iNaturalist</u>
	(Speke's Gazelle) © Josh More via <u>iNaturalist</u>
	(Golden Masked Owl) © Nik Borrow via <u>iNaturalist</u>
Pages 20-21	(Cocha Antshrike) © Josh Vandermeulen via iNaturalist
	(Loveridge's Forest Frog) © John Lyakurwa via <u>iNaturalist</u>
	(Barbary Ground Squirrel) © Ashwin Viswanathan via iNaturalist
	(Crested Gecko) © Lennart Hudel via iNaturalist
Pages 28-29	(Three-Banded Butterflyfish) © pkunnen via <u>iNaturalist</u>
	(Franciscana) © Diego Trillo via <u>iNaturalist</u>
	(Heaviside's Dolphin) © Paul Carter via <u>iNaturalist</u>
	(Brown Numbfish) © Selva Ganesh via <u>iNaturalist</u>
Pages 30-31	(Atlantic White-Sided Dolphin) © Anna via Wikimedia Commons
	(Pygmy Beaked Whale) © Sergio Martinez via <u>iNaturalist</u>
	(Seychelles Anemonefish) © Greg Tee via <u>iNaturalist</u>
	(Saddled Swellshark) © Ken Graham via <u>iNaturalist</u>
Page 33	(Blue Monkey) © Tony Campbell via Adobe Stock
Page 35	(Ocoee Salamander) © Mike Wilhelm via Adobe Stock
Pages 36-37	(Background photo) © Nature & Culture International
	(Cuenca Stubfoot Toad) © Felipe Campos via iNaturalist
Pages 38-39	© E.O. Wilson Biodiversity Foundation

Senior RA, Bagwyn R, Leng D, Killion AK, Jetz W, Wilcove DS. Global shortfalls in documented actions to conserve biodiversity. *Nature*, 2024. doi.org/10.1038/s41586-024-07498-7

Jetz W, McGowan J, Rinnan DS, Possingham HP, Visconti P, O'Donnell B, Londoño-Murcia MC. Include biodiversity representation indicators in area-based conservation targets. *Nature Ecology and Evolution*, 2021. doi:10.1038/s41559-021-01620-y

Jetz W, McGeoch MA, Guralnick R, Ferrier S, Beck J, Costello MJ, Fernandez M, Geller GN, Keil P, Merow C, Meyer C, Muller-Karger FE, Pereira HM, Regan EC, Schmeller DS, Turak E. Essential biodiversity variables for mapping and monitoring species populations. *Nature Ecology and Evolution*, 2019. doi:10.1038/s41559-019-0826-1

Powers RP, Jetz W. Global habitat loss and extinction risk of terrestrial vertebrates under future land-use-change scenarios. *Nature Climate Change*, 2019. doi:10.1038/s41558-019-0406-z

IUCN. 2024. *The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2024-10.* https://www.iucnredlist. org. Accessed on October 14, 2024..

## REFERENCES